arXiv:math/0311321v1 [math.AP] 19 Nov 2003

# Nonexistence of Bounded Energy Solutions for a Fourth Order Equation on Thin Annuli

Mohamed Ben Ayed[a]*, Khalil El Mehdi[b,c] & Mokhless Hammami[a] †

a : Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Route Soukra, Sfax, Tunisia.

b : Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université de Nouakchott, BP 5026, Nouakchott, Mauritania.

c : The Abdus Salam ICTP, Mathematics Section, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy.

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the problem $P_\varepsilon$ : $\Delta^2 u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}$, $u_\varepsilon > 0$ in $A_\varepsilon$; $u_\varepsilon = \Delta u_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial A_\varepsilon$, where $\{A_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^n,\ \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a family of bounded annulus shaped domains such that $A_\varepsilon$ becomes "thin" as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Our main result is the following: Assume $n \geq 6$ and let $C > 0$ be a constant. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, the problem $P_\varepsilon$ has no solution $u_\varepsilon$, whose energy, $\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2$ is less than $C$. Our proof involves rather delicate analysis of asymptotic profiles of solutions $u_\varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$

**Keywords :** Fourth order elliptic equations, Critical Sobolev exponent, Critical points at infinity.
**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 35J60, 35J65, 58E05.

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the following nonlinear elliptic problem under the Navier boundary condition

$$P(\Omega) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = u^q, \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded regular domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 5$ and $q+1 = 2n/(n-4)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{q+1}(\Omega)$.
The interest in this type of equation comes from the fact that it resembles some geometric equations involving Paneitz operator (see for instance [9] and [10]).
It is well known that if $\Omega$ is starshaped, $P(\Omega)$ has no solution (see Mitidieri [15] and Van der Vost [17]) and if $\Omega$ has nontrivial topology, in the sense that $H_k(\Omega; Z/2Z) \neq 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Ebobisse and Ould Ahmedou [11] have shown that $P(\Omega)$ has a solution. Nevertheless, Gazzola, Grunan and Squassina [12] gave the example of contractible domain on which a solution still exists, showing that both topology and geometry of the

---

[*] Corresponding author. Fax : +216-74-274437, E-mail : `Mohamed.Benayed@fss.rnu.tn`

[†] E-mail adresses : `khalil@univ-nkc.mr` (K. El Mehdi), `Mokhless.Hammami@fss.rnu.tn` (M. Hammami).

domain play a role.
In contrast with the subcritical case $q < \frac{n+4}{n-4}$, the associated variational problem happens to be lacking of compactness, that is the functional corresponding to $P(\Omega)$ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. This means that there exist sequences along which the functional is bounded, its gradient goes to zero, and which do not converge. Such a fact follows fron the noncompactness of the embedding of $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{q+1}(\Omega)$. Since this lack of compactness, the standard variational techniques do not apply and therefore the question related to existence or nonexistence of solutions of $P(\Omega)$ remained open.
In this paper, we study the problem $P(\Omega)$ when $\Omega = A_\varepsilon$ is a ringshaped open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$and $\varepsilon \to 0$. More precisely, let $f$ be any smooth function :

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow [1,2] \ , (\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1}) \longrightarrow f(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1})$$

which is periodic of period $\pi$ with respect to $\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-2}$ and of period $2\pi$ with respect to $\theta_{n-1}$.
We set

$$S_1(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / r = f(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1})\},$$

where $(r, \theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1})$ are the polar coordinates of $x$.
For $\varepsilon$ positive small enough, we introduce the following map

$$g_\varepsilon : S_1(f) \longrightarrow g_\varepsilon(S_1(f)) = S_2(f), \ \ x \longmapsto g_\varepsilon(x) = x + \varepsilon n_x,$$

where $n_x$ is the outward normal to $S_1(f)$ at $x$. We denote by $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ the family of annulus shaped domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\partial A_\varepsilon = S_1(f) \cup S_2(f)$.
Our main result is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Assume that $n \geq 6$ and let $C$ be any positive constant. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, the problem $P_\varepsilon$ : $\Delta^2 u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}$, $u_\varepsilon > 0$ in $A_\varepsilon$, $u_\varepsilon = \Delta u_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial A_\varepsilon$, has no solution such that $\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C$.*

**Remark 1.2** *We believe the result to be true also for $n = 5$ (see Remark 3.2 below).*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by strong arguments which we developed for the corresponding second order equation [7]. It involves rather delicate analysis of asymptotic profiles of solutions when $\varepsilon$ tends to zero. Compared with the second order case, further technical problems have to be solved by means of delicate and careful estimates.
The plan of the present paper is as follows. In section 2, arguing by contradiction, we suppose that $(P_\varepsilon)$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon$ with a bounded energy and we study the asymptotic behavior of such a solution, we prove that $u_\varepsilon$ blows up at finite points. Then we give in section 3 the characterization of blow up points. Lastly, section 4 is devoted to the proof of our theorem.

## 2 Asymptotic Behavior of Bounded Energy Solutions

In this section we suppose that $P_\varepsilon$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon$ which satisfies $\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C$, C being a given constant. Our purpose is to study the asymptotic behavior of $u_\varepsilon$ when $\varepsilon$ tends to zero. We prove that $u_\varepsilon$ blows up at p points ($p \in \mathbb{N}^*$).
In order to formulate the result of this section, we need to fix some notation.
We denote by $G_\varepsilon$ the Green's function of $\Delta^2$ defined by: $\forall\, x \in A_\varepsilon$

$$\Delta^2 G_\varepsilon(x,.) = c_n \delta_x \text{ in } A_\varepsilon, \qquad G_\varepsilon(x,.) = \Delta G_\varepsilon(x,.) = 0 \text{ on } \partial A_\varepsilon, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta_x$ is the Dirac mass at $x$ and $c_n = (n-4)(n-2)|S^{n-1}|$.
We denote by $H_\varepsilon$ the regular part of $G_\varepsilon$, that is,

$$H_\varepsilon(x_1,x_2) = |x_1 - x_2|^{4-n} - G_\varepsilon(x_1,x_2), \text{ for } (x_1,x_2) \in A_\varepsilon \times A_\varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x = (x_1, ..., x_p) \in A_\varepsilon^p$, we denote by $M = M_\varepsilon(x)$ the matrix defined by

$$M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}, \text{ where } m_{ii} = H_\varepsilon(x_i,x_i), m_{ij} = -G_\varepsilon(x_i,x_j), i \neq j \tag{2.3}$$

and define $\rho_\varepsilon(x)$ as the least eigenvalue of $M(x)$ ($\rho_\varepsilon(x) = -\infty$ if $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$).
For $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ denotes the function

$$\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(x) = c_0 \lambda^{\frac{n-4}{2}} (1 + \lambda^2 |x-a|^2)^{\frac{4-n}{2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is well known (see [13]) that if $c_0$ is suitably chosen ($c_0 = ((n-4)(n-2)n(n+2))^{\frac{n-4}{8}}$) the function $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ are the only solutions of equation

$$\Delta^2 u = u^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}, u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.5}$$

and they are also the only minimizers for the Sobolev inequality, that is

$$S = \inf\{ |\Delta u|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} |u|^{-2}_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ s.t.\, \Delta u \in L^2, u \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}, u \neq 0\}. \tag{2.6}$$

We also denote by $P_\varepsilon \delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ the projection of $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ on $H^2(A_\varepsilon) \cap H_0^1(A_\varepsilon)$, that is,

$$\Delta^2 P_\varepsilon \delta_{(a,\lambda)} = \Delta^2 \delta_{(a,\lambda)} \text{ in } A_\varepsilon,\ \Delta P_\varepsilon \delta_{(a,\lambda)} = P_\varepsilon \delta_{(a,\lambda)} = 0 \text{ on } \partial A_\varepsilon.$$

Lastly, let $E_\varepsilon := H^2(A_\varepsilon) \cap H_0^1(A_\varepsilon)$ equipped with the norm $||.||$ and the corresponding inner product $(.,.)$ defined by

$$||u|| \ = \left( \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (u,v) \ = \int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta u \Delta v, \qquad u,v \in E_\varepsilon \tag{2.7}$$

and we define on $E_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ the functional

$$J_\varepsilon(u) = \left( \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u|^2 \right) \left( \int_{A_\varepsilon} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \right)^{\frac{4-n}{n}} \tag{2.8}$$

whose positive critical points, up a multiplicative constant, are solutions of $P_\varepsilon$.
Now we are able to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let $u_\varepsilon$ be a solution of problem $P_\varepsilon$, assume $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C$, where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon$. Then, after passing to a subsequence, there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(a_{1,\varepsilon}, ..., a_{p,\varepsilon}) \in A_\varepsilon^p$ and $(\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}, ..., \lambda_{p,\varepsilon}) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^p$ such that*

$$\left|\left|u_\varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{p} P_\varepsilon \delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}\right|\right| \to 0, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon} \to +\infty, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon} d_{i,\varepsilon} \to +\infty, \varepsilon_{ij} \to 0 \quad as \quad \varepsilon \to 0,$$

*where $d_{i,\varepsilon} = d(a_{i,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon)$ and where $\varepsilon_{ij} = (\frac{\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{j,\varepsilon}} + \frac{\lambda_{j,\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}} + \lambda_{i,\varepsilon}\lambda_{j,\varepsilon}|a_{i,\varepsilon} - a_{j,\varepsilon}|^2)^{-\frac{n-4}{2}}$.*

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1. To this aim, we begin by proving the following lemma :

**Lemma 2.2** *We have the following claims*

1. $\displaystyle\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 \not\longrightarrow 0$, *when* $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$.
2. $M_\varepsilon \longrightarrow +\infty$, *when* $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, *where* $M_\varepsilon = |u_\varepsilon|_{L^\infty(A_\varepsilon)}$.
3. $\exists\, c > 0$ *such that for $\varepsilon$ small enough, we have* $\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}} \geq c$.

**Proof.** Since $u_\varepsilon$ is a solution of $P_\varepsilon$, it is clear that

$$\left(\int_{A_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{S}\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 = \frac{1}{S}\int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}},$$

where S denotes the Sobolev constant defined in (2.6). Thus

$$S_n := S^{\frac{n}{4}} \leq \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq c\,\varepsilon\, M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}.$$

Therefore Claims 1 and 2 are proved. To prove Claim 3, we observe that

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq M_\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{n-4}} \int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^2(x)dx.$$

Now it is clear that

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^2(x)dx = \varepsilon^n \int_{B_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^2(X)dX,$$

where $v_\varepsilon(X) = u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon X)$ and where $B_\varepsilon = \varphi(A_\varepsilon)$, with $\varphi : x \longmapsto \varphi(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}x$. Notice that

$$\varepsilon^n \int_{B_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^2(X)dX \leq \frac{\varepsilon^n}{c_\varepsilon}\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\Delta v_\varepsilon(X)|^2 dX = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{c_\varepsilon}\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx,$$

where $c_\varepsilon$ is the least eigenvalue of $\Delta^2$ on $B_\varepsilon$ with the Navier boundary condition. Thus

$$c_\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon^4 M_\varepsilon^{8/(n-4)}.$$

As in Lin [14], we can prove that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} c_\varepsilon = c > 0$, therefore Claim 3 holds and our lemma follows. □

Now let $\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon = M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}}(A_\varepsilon - a_{1,\varepsilon})$, where $a_{1,\varepsilon} \in A_\varepsilon$ such that $M_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(a_{1,\varepsilon})$, and we denote by $v_\varepsilon$ the rescaled function defined on $\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon$ by

$$v_\varepsilon(X) = M_\varepsilon^{-1} u_\varepsilon(a_{1,\varepsilon} + M_\varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{n-4}} X). \tag{2.9}$$

It is easy to see that $v_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v_\varepsilon &= v_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}, \quad 0 < v_\varepsilon \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \widetilde{A}_\varepsilon \\ v_\varepsilon(0) &= 1, \quad v_\varepsilon = \Delta v_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} |\Delta v_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = \int_{A_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq C.$$

Let us distinguish the following cases:

1. $M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}} d(a_{1,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon) \to +\infty$, when $\varepsilon \to 0$.
2. $M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}} d(a_{1,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon)$ tends to 0.
3. $M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}} d(a_{1,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon)$ is bounded below and above.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.3 [7], we can show that case 2 cannot occur. Now we are going to prove that case 3 cannot also occur. Arguing by contradiction, let us suppose that case 3 occurs. Then it follows from (2.10) and standard elliptic theories that there exists some positive function $v$, such that ( after passing to subsequence ), $v_\varepsilon \to v$ in $C^2_{loc}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a half space or a strip of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $v$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v &= v^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ v(0) &= 1, \quad v = \Delta v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

But if $\Omega$ is a half space or a strip of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then $v$ must vanish identically (see [15]). Thus we derive a contradiction. So we are in the first case and therefore there exists some positive function $v$, such that (after passing to a subsequence), $v_\varepsilon \longrightarrow v$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $v$ satisfies (2.11) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and without boundary conditions. It follows from Lin [13]

$$v(X) = \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X), \qquad \text{with } \alpha_n = ((n-4)(n-2)n(n+2))^{-1/4}.$$

Hence

$$M_\varepsilon^{-1} u_\varepsilon(a_{1,\varepsilon} + M_\varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{n-4}} X) - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X) \to 0 \text{ in } C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ when } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Observe that

$$M_\varepsilon^{-1}u_\varepsilon(a_{1,\varepsilon}+M_\varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{n-4}}X)-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X)=M_\varepsilon^{-1}\left(u_\varepsilon(x)-\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}(x)\right),\quad\text{with}\quad\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}=\alpha_nM_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}}.$$

In the sequel, we denote by $u_\varepsilon^1$ the function defined on $A_\varepsilon$ by

$$u_\varepsilon^1(x)=u_\varepsilon(x)-P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}(x). \tag{2.12}$$

Notice that $\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}\to+\infty$ and $\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}d(a_{1,\varepsilon},\partial A_\varepsilon)\to+\infty$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$.
Now we need to prove the following lemma :

**Lemma 2.3** *Let* $u_\varepsilon^1$ *be defined by* (2.12). *Then we have*
**i** /$\Delta^2u_\varepsilon^1=|u_\varepsilon^1|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}u_\varepsilon^1+g_\varepsilon$, *with* $|g_\varepsilon|_{H^{-2}_{(A_\varepsilon)}}\to 0$ , *when* $\varepsilon\to 0$.
**ii** / $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u_\varepsilon^1|^2=\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2-S_n+o(1)$.
**iii** /$\int_{A_\varepsilon}|u_\varepsilon^1|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}=\int_{A_\varepsilon}|u_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}-S_n+o(1)$, *where* $S_n=S^{\frac{n}{4}}$.

**Proof.** **i/** We have

$$\Delta^2u_\varepsilon^1=u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}-\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}=|u_\varepsilon^1|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}u_\varepsilon^1+g_\varepsilon,\ \text{with}\ g_\varepsilon=u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}-\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}-|u_\varepsilon^1|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}u_\varepsilon^1.$$

Observe that

$$g_\varepsilon=O\left(P_\varepsilon\delta^{\frac{8}{n-4}}|u_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta|+|u_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}P_\varepsilon\delta\right)+O\left(\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\theta_\varepsilon\right),$$

where $P_\varepsilon\delta=P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}$, $\delta_\varepsilon=\delta_{(a_{1,\varepsilon},\lambda_{1,\varepsilon})}$ and $\theta_\varepsilon=\delta_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta$.
Since $L^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}\hookrightarrow H^{-2}$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon}\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}|u_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}\to 0\,\text{and}\int_{A_\varepsilon}\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}|u_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta|^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}\to 0,\text{when}\ \varepsilon\to o.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_\varepsilon}\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}|u_\varepsilon-P_\varepsilon\delta|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}&\le c\int_{A_\varepsilon}\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}|u_\varepsilon-\delta_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}+c\int_{A_\varepsilon}\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}\theta_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}\\&\le c\int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon}\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}(X)|v_\varepsilon(X)-M_\varepsilon^{-1}\delta_\varepsilon(a_{1,\varepsilon}+M_\varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{n-4}}X)|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}dX+O\left(|\theta_\varepsilon|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}}^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The function $\theta_\varepsilon$ satisfies (see [8])

$$|\theta_\varepsilon|_{L^{2n/(n-4)}}=O\left((\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}d_{1,\varepsilon})^{(4-n)/2}\right)=o(1). \tag{2.13}$$

Regarding the first term, let $R$ be a large constant such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,R)}\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}=o(1)$. Then, using the Holder's inequality and the fact that $\int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon}v_\varepsilon^{2n/(n-4)}\le C$, we derive that

$$\int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon\setminus B(0,R)}\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}(X)|v_\varepsilon(X)-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}dX\le c_1\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,R)}\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{8}{n+4}}=o(1) \tag{2.14}$$

Now, we need to estimate the following integral

$$\int_{B(0,R)} \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}(X)|v_\varepsilon(X)-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}dX \le C\int_{B(0,R)} |v_\varepsilon(X)-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}dX \to 0,$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$, indeed $v_\varepsilon - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)} \longrightarrow 0$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
In the same way, we prove that

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}|u_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon\delta|^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{when } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0.$$

**ii/** We also have

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u^1_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta|^2 - 2\int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta u_\varepsilon \Delta P_\varepsilon\delta.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta|^2 &= \int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} P_\varepsilon\delta = \int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} - \int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\theta_\varepsilon\\ &= \int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} - \int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\theta_\varepsilon = S_n - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} - \int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\theta_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

For the 2nd integral, we have

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\theta_\varepsilon \le C|\theta_\varepsilon|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}(A_\varepsilon)} \le c(\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}\, d(a_{1,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon))^{\frac{4-n}{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0,$$

For the first integral, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = o(1)$$

indeed $\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)} \in L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta|^2 = S_n + o(1).$$

We also have

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta u_\varepsilon \Delta P_\varepsilon\delta = \int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta(u_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon\delta)\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta + \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta|^2.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_\varepsilon} \Delta(u_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon\delta)\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta &= \int_{A_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - P_\varepsilon\delta)\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} = \int_{A_\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon - \delta_\varepsilon)\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + \int_{A_\varepsilon} \theta_\varepsilon\delta_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\\ &= \int_{\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} (v_\varepsilon - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)})\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + o(1)\\ &\le \int_{B(0,R)} (v_\varepsilon - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)})\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,R)} (v_\varepsilon - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)})\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + o(1)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, on one hand

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,R)}(v_\varepsilon-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)})\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,R)}\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}}=o(1).$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{B(0,R)}(v_\varepsilon-\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)})\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}=o(1),\ \text{since } v_\varepsilon\longrightarrow\delta_{(0,\alpha_n)} \text{ in } C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon}\Delta u_\varepsilon\Delta P_\varepsilon\delta=S_n+o(1).$$

Thus Claim ii/ of Lemma 2.3 follows.
**iii/** The proof of iii/ in Lemma 2.3 is similar to that of ii/, so we will omit it. □

Now we distinguish two cases :
**i /** $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u^1_\varepsilon|^2\longrightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$.
**ii /** $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u^1_\varepsilon|^2\not\longrightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$.
If $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u^1_\varepsilon|^2\longrightarrow 0$ , the proof of Theorem 2.1 is finished.
In the sequel, we consider the second case, that is $\int_{A_\varepsilon}|\Delta u^1_\varepsilon|^2\not\longrightarrow 0$, when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$ and we are going to look for a second point of blow up of $u_\varepsilon$.
In order to simplify our notation, in remainder we often omit the index $\varepsilon$ of $a_\varepsilon$ and $\lambda_\varepsilon$.
Let us introduce the following notation :

$$u_\varepsilon(a_2):=\lambda_2^{\frac{n-4}{2}}=\max_{(A_\varepsilon\setminus B(a_1,\varepsilon))}u_\varepsilon(x) \tag{2.15}$$

$$h_\varepsilon=\max_{B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}|x-a_1|^{\frac{n-4}{2}}u_\varepsilon(x)=|a_1-a_3|^{\frac{n-4}{2}}u_\varepsilon(a_3)=|a_1-a_3|^{\frac{n-4}{2}}\lambda_3^{\frac{n-4}{2}}. \tag{2.16}$$

We distinguish two cases :
**Case 1.** $h_\varepsilon\to+\infty$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$.
**Case 2.** $h_\varepsilon\leq c$, when $\varepsilon\to 0$.
Now we study the first case, that is, $h_\varepsilon\to\infty$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$.
Let

$$\lambda_4=\max(\lambda_2,\lambda_3):=u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}}(a_4).$$

For $X\in B(0,\frac{\lambda_4}{2}|a_1-a_4|)\cap D_\varepsilon$, we set

$$w_\varepsilon(X)=\lambda_4^{\frac{4-n}{2}}u_\varepsilon(a_4+\lambda_4^{-1}X),\quad \text{with } D_\varepsilon=\lambda_4(A_\varepsilon-a_4).$$

It is easy to check the following claims

$$\lambda_4|a_1-a_4|\geq(1/2)\lambda_3|a_1-a_3|,\quad \text{and } \lambda_4\varepsilon\geq(1/2)\lambda_3|a_1-a_3|.$$

Thus

$$\lambda_4|a_1-a_4|\to+\infty \quad \text{and } \lambda_4\varepsilon\to+\infty \quad \text{as } \varepsilon\to 0.$$

We also have

$$w_\varepsilon(X)\le c, \quad \forall\, X\in B(0,(1/2)\lambda_4|a_1-a_4|)\cap D_\varepsilon.$$

By an argument similar to the one used after the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have $\lambda_4 d(a_4,\partial A_\varepsilon)\to+\infty$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Thus, there exist $b\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda>0$ such that $w_\varepsilon\to\delta_{(b,\lambda)}$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore we have found a second point of blow up $\bar a_2$ of $u_\varepsilon$ with the concentration $\bar\lambda_2$ in this case ($\bar a_2=a_4+b/\lambda_4$ and $\bar\lambda_2=\lambda\lambda_4$ ).

Next we study the second case, that is, $h_\varepsilon$ remains bounded when $\varepsilon\to 0$, where $h_\varepsilon$ is defined in (2.16). In this case we consider two subcases.

**i.** $\int_{B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}|u^1_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$.

**ii.** $\int_{B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}|u^1_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\not\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Let us consider the first subcase. Clearly, we have $\int_{A_\varepsilon\setminus B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}|u^1_\varepsilon|^{2n/(n-4)}\not\to 0$ and $\int_{A_\varepsilon\setminus B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}\delta_{(a_1,\lambda_1)}^{2n/(n-4)}\to 0$. Then, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$0<c\le\int_{A_\varepsilon\setminus B(a_1,2\varepsilon)}u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\le c\lambda_2^2\int_{A_\varepsilon}u_\varepsilon^2\le c(\varepsilon\lambda_2)^2.$$

Hence, there exists $\bar c>0$ such that

$$\lambda_2|a_1-a_2|\ge\lambda_2\varepsilon\ge 2\bar c.$$

Now, for $X\in E_\varepsilon=\lambda_2(A_\varepsilon-a_2)$, we introduce the following function

$$U_\varepsilon(X)=\lambda_2^{\frac{4-n}{2}}u_\varepsilon(a_2+\lambda_2^{-1}X).$$

We also have $\lambda_2 d(a_2,\partial A_\varepsilon)\to+\infty$. It is easy to see that $U_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$U_\varepsilon\le 1, \quad \text{in } B(0,(1/2)\lambda_2|a_1-a_2|).$$

Thus there exists $b\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda>0$ such that $U_\varepsilon\to\delta_{(b,\lambda)}$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore we have also found a second point of blow up $\bar a_2$ of $u_\varepsilon$ with the concentration $\bar\lambda_2$ in this case ($\bar a_2=a_2+b/\lambda_2$ and $\bar\lambda_2=\lambda\lambda_2$ ).

Now we study the second subcase. To this aim, we introduce the following function defined on $F_\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{-1}(A_\varepsilon-a_1)$ by

$$W_\varepsilon(X)=\varepsilon^{\frac{n-4}{2}}u^1_\varepsilon(a_1+\varepsilon X).$$

Observe that $F_\varepsilon$ "converges" to a strip of $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $\varepsilon\to 0$. We notice that $W_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\Delta^2 W_\varepsilon &= |W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}W_\varepsilon+f_\varepsilon \quad \text{in} \quad F_\varepsilon\\ W_\varepsilon &= \Delta W_\varepsilon=0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial F_\varepsilon,\end{cases}$$

with $|f_\varepsilon|_{H^{-2}(F_\varepsilon)} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
We also have

$$\int_{B(0,2)\cap F_\varepsilon} |W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = \int_{B(a_1,2\varepsilon)\cap A_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^1|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \nrightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0 \text{ and } \int_{F_\varepsilon} |\Delta W_\varepsilon|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon^1|^2 \le C$$

It is easy to check that there exists some fixed domain $F \subset B(0,2) \cap F_\varepsilon$ such that $|W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \to 0$ almost everywhere and $|W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \nrightarrow 0$ in $L^1(F)$. From Dunford-Pettis'Lemma ([5]), we have

$$\exists \delta_0 > 0, \quad \exists \alpha_\varepsilon > 0,\, \alpha_\varepsilon \to 0, \quad \exists b_\varepsilon \in F \quad \text{s.t.} \int_{B(b_\varepsilon,\alpha_\varepsilon)\cap F_\varepsilon} |W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \ge \delta_0. \tag{2.17}$$

We can choose $b_\varepsilon$ and $\alpha_\varepsilon$ such that $\alpha_\varepsilon$ is minimum and $\int_{B(b_\varepsilon,\alpha_\varepsilon)\cap F_\varepsilon} |W_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = \delta_0$.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let $(\alpha_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon)$ be defined by (2.17) and let $\bar\lambda_2 = (\varepsilon\alpha_\varepsilon)^{-1}$, and $\bar a_2 = a_1 + \varepsilon b_\varepsilon$. Then we have*

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\bar\lambda_2} \longrightarrow +\infty \text{ or } \frac{\bar\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \longrightarrow +\infty \text{ or } \lambda_1\bar\lambda_2|a_1 - \bar a_2|^2 \longrightarrow +\infty \text{ when } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0, \text{ with } \lambda_1 = M_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{n-4}}.$$

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction. Let us suppose that $\lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2$, $\bar\lambda_2/\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_1\bar\lambda_2|a_1 - \bar a_2|^2$ are bounded when $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$.
For $X \in \widetilde{A}_\varepsilon := \lambda_1(A_\varepsilon - a_1)$, we introduce $\omega_\varepsilon$ defined by

$$\omega_\varepsilon(X) = M_\varepsilon^{-1} u_\varepsilon^1(a_1 + \lambda_1^{-1}X). \tag{2.18}$$

Observe that, on one hand

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(\lambda_1(\bar a_2 - a_1),\lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2)\cap\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} |\omega_\varepsilon(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dX &= \int_{B(\bar a_2, 1/\bar\lambda_2)\cap A_\varepsilon} |u_\varepsilon^1(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx \\ &= \int_{B(b_\varepsilon,\alpha_\varepsilon)\cap F_\varepsilon} |W_\varepsilon(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dX = \delta_0 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda_1|\bar a_2 - a_1|$ and $\lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2$ are bounded, we have

$$\exists R > 0 \,\text{such that}\; B(\lambda_1(\bar a_2 - a_1), \lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2) \subset B(0,R).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(\lambda_1(\bar a_2 - a_1),\lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2)\cap\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} |\omega_\varepsilon(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dX &\le \int_{B(0,R)\cap\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} |\omega_\varepsilon(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dX \\ &= \int_{B(0,R)\cap\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon} |M_\varepsilon^{-1} u_\varepsilon(a_1 + \lambda_1^{-1}X) - M_\varepsilon^{-1} P_\varepsilon\delta(a_1 + \lambda_1^{-1}X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dX \\ &\le c\int_{B(0,R)} |v_\varepsilon - \delta_{(0,\alpha_n)}(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + c\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\theta_\varepsilon(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{B(\lambda_1(\bar{a}_2-a_1),\lambda_1\bar{\lambda}_2)\cap\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon}|W_\varepsilon(X)|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}dX \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$$

which yields a contradiction and our Lemma follows . □

Now we set $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}_\varepsilon = \bar{\lambda}_2(A_\varepsilon - \bar{a}_2)$ and we introduce the function $V_\varepsilon$ defined by

$$V_\varepsilon(X) = \bar{\lambda}_2^{\frac{(4-n)}{2}} u^1_\varepsilon(\bar{a}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X).$$

Observe that

$$\int_{B(0,1)\cap\tilde{\tilde{A}}_\varepsilon}|V_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = \int_{B(\bar{a}_2,1/\bar{\lambda}_2)\cap A_\varepsilon}|u^1_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = \delta_0 > 0, \int|\Delta V_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C, \int|V_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq C. \quad (2.19)$$

It is easy to see that there exists some functions V such that (after passing to a subsequence), $V_\varepsilon \longrightarrow V$ in $H^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ and V satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 V = |V|^{\frac{8}{n-4}}V \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad V = \Delta V = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ \int_\Omega|\Delta V|^2 \leq C, \qquad \int_\Omega|V|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq C, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\Omega$ is a half space or a strip or a $\mathbb{R}^n$.
From (2.19), it is easy to see that $V \neq 0$.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let* $V$ *be defined by* (2.20)*. Then we have* $V \geq 0$.

**Proof.** We have

$$V_\varepsilon(X) = \bar{\lambda}_2^{\frac{(4-n)}{2}}\left(u_\varepsilon(\bar{a}_2+\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X) - \delta_{(a_1,\lambda_1)}(\bar{a}_2+\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X) + \theta_{(a_1,\lambda_1)}(\bar{a}_2+\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X)\right). \quad (2.21)$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\bar{\lambda}_2^{\frac{(4-n)}{2}}\delta_{(a_1,\lambda_1)}(\bar{a}_2+\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon &:= \int_{B(0,R)}\left(\bar{\lambda}_2^{\frac{(4-n)}{2}}\frac{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_1^2|\bar{a}_2+\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}X-a_1|^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\right)^{(n+4)/(n-4)} dX \\ &= (\frac{\lambda_1}{\bar{\lambda}_2})^{(n+4)/2}\int_{B(0,R)}\frac{dX}{\left(1+\left(\lambda_1/\bar{\lambda}_2\right)^2|X-\bar{\lambda}_2(a_1-\bar{a}_2)|^2\right)^{(n+4)/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

If $\lambda_1/\bar{\lambda}_2 \longrightarrow 0$ it is clear that $I_\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$. If $\lambda_1/\bar{\lambda}_2 \longrightarrow +\infty$, let $y = (\lambda_1/\bar{\lambda}_2)X$. Thus

$$I_\varepsilon \leq (\frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1})^{(n-4)/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\frac{1}{1+|y-\lambda_1(a_1-\bar{a}_2)|^2}\right)^{(n+4)/2} dy \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Lastly, if $\lambda_1/\bar\lambda_2 \not\longrightarrow +\infty$ and $\bar\lambda_2/\lambda_1 \not\longrightarrow +\infty$, then, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\lambda_1\bar\lambda_2|a_1-\bar a_2|^2 \longrightarrow +\infty, \text{ when } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Observe that for $X \in B(0,R)$, we have

$$|X-\bar\lambda_2(a_1-\bar a_2)| \geq |\bar\lambda_2(a_1-\bar a_2)| - |X| \geq c|\bar\lambda_2(a_1-\bar a_2)|.$$

Therefore

$$I_\varepsilon \leq (\frac{\lambda_1}{\bar\lambda_2})^{\frac{n+4}{2}} \int_{B(0,R)} \frac{1}{\Big(c(\frac{\lambda_1}{\bar\lambda_2})^2|\bar\lambda_2(a_1-\bar a_2)|^2\Big)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} \leq \frac{C(R)}{(\lambda_1\bar\lambda_2|a_1-\bar a_2|^2)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Then our Lemma follows. □

Now, from [15], we derive that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, using (2.20) and Lemma 2.5, we also obtain a second point of blow up of $u_\varepsilon$ in this case. Thus in all cases we have built a second point $a_{2,\varepsilon}$ of blow up of $u_\varepsilon$ with the concentration $\lambda_{2,\varepsilon}$ such that $\lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \to +\infty$ and $\lambda_{2,\varepsilon} d(a_{2,\varepsilon}, \partial A_\varepsilon) \to +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. It is clear that we can proceed by inductions. Thus we obtain a sequence $(u_\varepsilon^k)_k$ such that

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon^k|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon^{k-1}|^2 - S_n + o(1) = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 - kS_n + o(1).$$

Thus

$$0 \leq \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon^k|^2 = \int_{A_\varepsilon} |\Delta u_\varepsilon|^2 - kS_n + o(1) \leq C - kS_n + o(1). \tag{2.23}$$

Since the later term in (2.23) will be negative for large k, the induction will terminate after some index $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover, for this index, we obtain desired claims in Theorem 2.1.

# 3 Location of Blow up Points

In this section, we give the characterization of blow up points which we found in section 2. Namely, we prove the following crucial result :

**Theorem 3.1** *Let $a_{1,\varepsilon}$,..., $a_{p,\varepsilon}$ be the points given by Theorem 2.1. Then we have $p \geq 2$. Moreover, if $n \geq 6$, we have : $\exists\, k \leq p, \exists\, i_1, ..., i_k \in \{1,2,...,p\}$ such that*

$$d^{n-4}\rho_\varepsilon(a_{i_1,\varepsilon}, ..., a_{i_k,\varepsilon}) \to 0, \quad d^{n-3}\nabla\rho_\varepsilon(a_{i_1,\varepsilon}, ..., a_{i_k,\varepsilon}) \to 0.$$

*In addition, we have $\forall m,l \in \{1,...,k\}$ $|a_{i_m,\varepsilon} - a_{i_l,\varepsilon}| \leq C_0 d$, where*
*$d = \min\{d(a_{i_l,\varepsilon}), \partial A_\varepsilon)\,/1 \leq l \leq k\}$ and $C_0$ is a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon$.*

**Remark 3.2** *We believe the result of Theorem 3.1 to be true for* $n = 5$. *For* $n = 5$ *our method also proves easily* $d^{n-4}\rho_\varepsilon(a_{i_1,\varepsilon},...,a_{i_k,\varepsilon}) \to 0$, *but for the proof of* $d^{n-3}\nabla\rho_\varepsilon(a_{i_1,\varepsilon},...,a_{i_k,\varepsilon})$ *goes to* 0 *when* $\varepsilon \to 0$, *we need a more careful estimates of the rests in Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 below.*

To proceed further, we introduce some notation.
Let, for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\eta > 0$ given

$$\begin{aligned} V_\varepsilon(p,\eta) \ = \{ \quad & u \in \Sigma^+(A_\varepsilon) \text{ s.t } \exists\, x_1,...,x_p \in A_\varepsilon, \exists\, \lambda_1,...,\lambda_p > 0 \text{ with} \\ & \left|\left| u - C(p)\sum_{i=1}^{p} P_\varepsilon\delta_{(x_i,\lambda_i)} \right|\right| < \eta,\ \forall i\ \lambda_i > \frac{1}{\eta},\ \lambda_i\, d(x_i,\partial A_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{\eta}, \\ & \forall i \neq j \quad \varepsilon_{ij} = \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|x_i - x_j|^2\right)^{-\frac{n-4}{2}} < \eta \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma^+(A_\varepsilon) = \{u \in E_\varepsilon /\ u > 0,\ ||u|| = 1\}$.
If a function $u$ belongs to $V_\varepsilon(p,\eta)$, then, for $\eta > 0$ small enough, the minimization problem

$$\min_{\alpha_i,\lambda_i>0,\ x_i\in A_\varepsilon} \left|\left| u - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i P_\varepsilon\delta_{(x_i,\lambda_i)} \right|\right| \tag{3.1}$$

has a unique solution, up to permutation (the proof of this fact is similar, up to minor modifications, to the corresponding statement for Laplacian operator in [3]).
Therefore, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, Section 2 implies that $u_\varepsilon$( solution of $P_\varepsilon$ ) can be uniquely written as

$$\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon/(||u_\varepsilon||) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i,\varepsilon} P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})} + v_\varepsilon, \tag{3.2}$$

where $v_\varepsilon$ satisfies the following conditions :

$$(V_0)\ \ \big(v_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}\big) = \big(v_\varepsilon, \partial P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}/(\partial\lambda_i)\big) = \big(v_\varepsilon, \partial P_\varepsilon\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}/(\partial a_i)\big) = 0$$

and $\alpha_{i,\varepsilon}$ satisfies :

$$(J(u_\varepsilon))^{n/(n-4)}\ \alpha_{j,\varepsilon}^{8/n-4} = 1 + o(1), \forall j$$

In order to simplify the notations, in the sequel, we write $\alpha_i, a_i, \lambda_i, \delta_i$, $P\delta_i$ and $\theta_i$ instead of $\alpha_{i,\varepsilon}$, $a_{i,\varepsilon}$ , $\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}$, $\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}$, $P\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}$ and $\theta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon},\lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}$ respectively and we also write $u_\varepsilon$ instead of $\widetilde{u}_\varepsilon$.
First of all, we deal with the $v_\varepsilon$-part of $u_\varepsilon$.

**Proposition 3.3** *Let* $v_\varepsilon$ *be defined by* (3.2). *Then we have the following estimate*

$$||v_\varepsilon|| \leq C \begin{cases} \sum_i \frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}} + \sum \varepsilon_{ij} \left(Log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}} & \text{if } n < 12 \\ \sum_i \frac{log(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} + \sum \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n+4}{2(n-4)}} \left(Log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}} & \text{if } n \geq 12. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** From (3.2), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 v_\varepsilon &= J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\left(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i + v_\varepsilon\right)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} - \sum \alpha_i \delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\\ &= J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\left[\left(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i\right)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + \frac{n+4}{n-4}(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon + O(|v_\varepsilon|^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}})\right.\\ &+ \left. O\left(Sup(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i, v_\varepsilon)^{\frac{8}{n-4}-1}|v_\varepsilon|^2\right)\right] - \sum \alpha_i \delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $J(u_\varepsilon)$ is bounded,

$$||v_\varepsilon||^2 = J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\left[< f, v_\varepsilon > + \frac{n+4}{n-4}\int_{A_\varepsilon}(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon^2\right] + O(||v_\varepsilon||^{\inf(3,2n/(n-4)}),$$

where

$$< f, v >= \int_{A_\varepsilon}(\sum \alpha_i P\delta_i)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} v. \tag{3.3}$$

Then

$$Q(v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) = J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} < f, v_\varepsilon > + O(||v_\varepsilon||^{\inf(3,2n/(n-4))}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Q(v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) &:= ||v_\varepsilon||^2 - \frac{n+4}{n-4}J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\int_{A_\varepsilon}(\sum_i \alpha_i P\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon^2\\ &= ||v_\varepsilon||^2 - \frac{n+4}{n-4}J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\sum_i \alpha_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon^2 + O\left(\sum_{j\neq i}\int_{P\delta_j \leq P\delta_i} P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}-1} P\delta_j v_\varepsilon^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, since $J(u_\varepsilon)^{n/(n-4)}\alpha_i^{8/(n-4)} = 1 + o(1)$ and $\int(\delta_i\delta_j)^{n/(n-4)} = o(1)$, then $Q(v, v)$ is close to

$$||v||^2 - \frac{n+4}{n-4}\sum_i \int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} v^2$$

and therefore $Q$ is a positive definite quadratic form on $v$ (see [6]).
Thus there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_0||v_\varepsilon||^2 \leq Q(v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) + O(||v_\varepsilon||^{\inf(3,2n/n-4}) = J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} < f, v_\varepsilon > \leq C|f|||v_\varepsilon||.$$

Hence

$$||v_\varepsilon|| \leq C'|f|.$$

Now we estimate $|f|$. We have

$$< f, v_\varepsilon >= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon + O\left(\sum_{j\neq i}\int_{P\delta_j \leq P\delta_i} P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} P\delta_j |v_\varepsilon|\right).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon &= \int_{A\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon \delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} + O\left(\int_{A_\varepsilon} \delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} \theta_i |v_\varepsilon|\right)\\ &= O\left(\int_{B(a_i,d_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} \theta_i |v_\varepsilon|\right) + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(a_i,d_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} |v_\varepsilon|\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $d_i = d(a_i, \partial A_\varepsilon)$.
Thus

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon = O\left(||v_\varepsilon|| \left[|\theta_i|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_{B(a_i,d_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}} + \left(\int_{B^c(a_i,d_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}}\right]\right). \qquad (3.4)$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(a_i,d_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right)$$

and, since $|\theta_i|_{L^\infty} = O(\lambda_i^{(4-n)/2} d_i^{4-n})$, we have

$$|\theta_i|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_B \delta_i^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} + (\text{if } n = 12)\frac{Log(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^8} + (\text{if} n < 12)\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}}\right)$$

where $B = B(a_i, d_i)$. Therefore

$$\int_{A_\varepsilon} P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} v_\varepsilon = O\left(||v_\varepsilon|| \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} + (\text{if } n = 12)\frac{Log(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^8} + (\text{if} n < 12)\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}}\right]\right) (3.5)$$

We also have

$$\int_{P\delta_j \le P\delta_i} P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} P\delta_j |v_\varepsilon| \le ||v_\varepsilon|| \left[\int_{P\delta_j\le P\delta_i} \left(P\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}} P\delta_j\right)^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}\right]^{\frac{n+4}{2n}}. \qquad (3.6)$$

If $n \ge 12$, we have $\frac{2n}{n+4} \ge \frac{n}{n-4}$ and thus

$$\int_{P\delta_j\le P\delta_i} (P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} P\delta_j)^{\frac{2n}{n+4}} \le \int (\delta_i\delta_j)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} = O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-4}} Log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\right). \qquad (3.7)$$

If $n \le 11$, we have $1 < \frac{8}{n-4}$, thus, using Holder's inequality, we derive that

$$\int (P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}} P\delta_j)^{\frac{2n}{n+4}} \le c\left(\int (\delta_i\delta_j)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n+4}} \le c\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2n}{n+4}} \left(Log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n+4}} \qquad (3.8)$$

Using (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we easily deduce our proposition. □

Next we will give useful expansions of gradient of $J$.

**Proposition 3.4** *For $n \geq 6$, we have the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon),\lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\right) &= 2J(u_\varepsilon)c_1\left[-\frac{(n-4)}{2}\alpha_i\frac{H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-4}}(1+o(1))\right.\\ &- \left.\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_j\left(\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}+\frac{(n-4)}{2}\frac{H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_j)}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\right)(1+o(1))+R\right],\end{aligned}$$

*where $R$ satisfies* $R=o\left(\sum_k\frac{1}{(\lambda_kd_k)^{n-3}}+\sum_{k\neq r}\varepsilon_{kr}^{(n-3)/(n-4)}\right)$.

**Proof.** We have

$$\nabla J(u_\varepsilon)=2J(u_\varepsilon)\left[u_\varepsilon-J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\Delta^{-2}(u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}})\right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, setting $\varphi_i=\lambda_i(\partial P\delta_i/\partial\lambda_i)$ and using Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon),\varphi_i) =& 2J(u_\varepsilon)\left[\sum\alpha_j(P\delta_j,\varphi_i)-J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\left[\int\left(\sum\alpha_jP\delta_j\right)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\varphi_i\right.\right.\\ &+\left.\left.\frac{n+4}{n-4}\int(\sum\alpha_jP\delta_j)^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\varphi_i\right]\right]+R.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Notice that if $n\geq 6$, we have

$$\int\left(\sum_{j=1}^p\alpha_jP\delta_j\right)^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\varphi_iv_\varepsilon=\int(\alpha_iP\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\varphi_iv_\varepsilon+O\left(\sum_{k\neq r}\int_{P\delta_r\leq P\delta_k}P\delta_k^{\frac{8}{n-4}}P\delta_r|v_\varepsilon|\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{P\delta_r\leq P\delta_k}P\delta_rP\delta_k^{\frac{8}{n-4}}|v_\varepsilon| &\leq \int_{P\delta_r\leq|v_\varepsilon|}P\delta_k^{\frac{8}{n-4}}|v_\varepsilon|^2+\int_{P\delta_r\leq P\delta_k}P\delta_r^2P\delta_k^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\\ &=O\left(||v_\varepsilon||^2+(\text{ if } n\leq 7)\left(\int(\delta_r\delta_k)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\right)^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n}}+(\text{ if } n\geq 8)\int(\delta_r\delta_k)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\right)\\ &=O\left(||v_\varepsilon||^2+(\text{ if } n\leq 7)\varepsilon_{kr}^2(log\varepsilon_{kr}^{-1})^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n}}+(\text{ if } n\geq 8)\varepsilon_{kr}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log\varepsilon_{kr}^{-1}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(a_i,d_i)}P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}=O\left(||v_\varepsilon||\frac{1}{(\lambda_id_i)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}}\right), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(a_i,d_i)}P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i} &= -\int_{B_i}P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\lambda_i\frac{\partial(\theta_i)}{\partial\lambda_i}+\int_{B_i}P\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\\ &\leq\frac{||v_\varepsilon||}{(\lambda_id_i^2)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left(\int\delta^{\frac{16n}{n^2-16}}\right)^{\frac{n+4}{2n}}+\int_{B_i}\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\lambda_i\frac{\partial\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}+O\left(\int_{B_i}\delta_i^{\frac{8}{n-4}}v_\varepsilon\theta_i\right)\\ &=O\left(||v_\varepsilon||\right)\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_id_i)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}}+(ifn=12)\frac{Log(\lambda_id_i)}{(\lambda_id_i)^8}+(ifn\leq 11)\frac{1}{(\lambda_id_i)^{n-4}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now, we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\alpha_j P\delta_j)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\varphi_i =& \sum_{j=1}^{p}\int(\alpha_j P\delta_j)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\varphi_i + \frac{n+4}{n-4}\sum_{j\neq i}\int(\alpha_i P\delta_i)^{\frac{8}{n-4}}\alpha_j P\delta_j\varphi_i\\ &+\sum_{k\neq r} O\left((\text{ if } n\leq 7)\varepsilon_{kr}^{2}(log\varepsilon_{kr}^{-1})^{\frac{2(n-4)}{n}} + (\text{ if } n\geq 8)\varepsilon_{kr}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log\varepsilon_{kr}^{-1}\right) \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that a computation similar to the one performed in [2] and [16] shows that

$$\big(P\delta_i, \lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\big) = \frac{n-4}{2}c_1\frac{H(a_i,a_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-4}} + O\Big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\Big) \qquad (3.16)$$

$$\int P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i} = 2\big(P\delta_i, \lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\big) + O\Big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\Big) \qquad (3.17)$$

and for $i\neq j$, we have

$$\big(P\delta_j, \lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\big) = c_1\Big(\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i} + \frac{n-4}{2}\frac{H(a_i,a_j)}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\Big) + O\Big(\sum_{k=i,j}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k d_k)^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-2}{n-4}}\Big) \qquad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int P\delta_j^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i} =& \big(P\delta_j, \lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\big) + (\text{ if } n\geq 8)O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \frac{log(\lambda_j d_j)}{(\lambda_j d_j)^{n}}\right)\\ &+ (\text{ if } n\leq 7)O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{ij}(log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}{(\lambda_j d_j)^{n-4}}\right) \qquad (3.19)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int P\delta_j\lambda_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}(P\delta_i)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} =& \big(P\delta_j, \lambda_i\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial\lambda_i}\big) + (\text{ if } n\geq 8)O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \frac{log(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n}}\right)\\ &+ (\text{ if } n\leq 7)O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{ij}(log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}}\right). \qquad (3.20)\end{aligned}$$

Now, the estimates (3.10),..., (3.20), and the fact that $J(u_\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}\alpha_j^{\frac{8}{n-4}} = 1 + o(1)$, Proposition 3.4 follows. □

**Proposition 3.5** *For $n\geq 6$, we have the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\Big) =& J(u)c_1\Bigg[\frac{\alpha_i}{\lambda_i^{n-1}}\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_i)}{\partial a_i}(1+o(1)) - 2\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_j\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}\\ &-\frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_j)}{\partial a_i}\Big)(1+o(1)) + O\Big(\sum\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-1}{n-4}}\Big)\Bigg] + R,\end{aligned}$$

*where $R$ is defined in Proposition 3.4.*

**Proof.** As in the proof of Proposition 3.4 , we obtain (3.10) but with ${\lambda_i}^{-1}(\partial P\delta_i)/(\partial a_i)$ instead of $\lambda_i(\partial P\delta_i)/(\partial\lambda_i)$. Now, using Proposition 2.1 of [8], we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P\delta_i,\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\big)=&-\frac{1}{2}\frac{c_1}{\lambda_i^{n-3}}\frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_i)+O\big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\big)\\ \int P\delta_i^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}=&2\big(P\delta_i,\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\big)+O\big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\big)\end{aligned}$$

and for $i\neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P\delta_j,\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\big)=&c_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}-\frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial H}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)\right)\\ &+O\left(\sum_{k=i,j}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k d_k)^{n-2}}+\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-1}{n-4}}\lambda_j\mid a_i-a_j\mid\right)\\ \int P\delta_j^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}=&\big(P\delta_j,\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\big)+(\text{ if } n\geq 8)O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})+\frac{log(\lambda_j d_j)}{(\lambda_j d_j)^n}\right)\\ &+(\text{ if } n\leq 7)O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{ij}(log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}{(\lambda_j d_j)^{n-4}}\right)\\ \int P\delta_j\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}(P\delta_i)^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}=&\big(P\delta_j,\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial a_i}\big)+(\text{ if } n\geq 8)O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-4}}log(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})+\frac{log(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right)\\ &+(\text{ if } n\leq 7)O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{ij}(log\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimates our proposition follows. □

Next we are going to give the proof of Theorem 3.1.
From Proposition 3.4 we easily derive that $p\geq 2$. Now for $i\in\{1,...,p\}$, we introduce the following condition

$$2^{-p-1}\sum_{k\neq i}\varepsilon_{ki}\leq\sum_{j=1}^{p}H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_j)(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{4-n}{2}}. \tag{3.21}$$

We divide the set $\{1,...,p\}$ into $T_1\cup T_2$ with

$$T_1=\{i/\ i \text{ satisfies (3.21)}\}\quad\text{and}\quad T_2=\{i/\ i\text{ does not satisfy (3.21)}\}.$$

In $T_2$ we order the $\lambda_i's$: $\lambda_{i_1}\leq\lambda_{i_2}\leq...\leq\lambda_{i_s}$.
We begin by proving the following Lemma:

**Lemma 3.6** *For $n\geq 6$, we have the following estimate*

$$(a)\qquad\sum_{j\in T_2,j\neq i}(\varepsilon_{ij}+(\lambda_i d_i)^{4-n})=R_1,\ \textit{with}\ R_1=o\left[\sum_{k\in T_1}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_k d_k)^{n-3}}+\sum_{r\neq k,r\in T_1}\varepsilon_{kr}^{\frac{n-3}{n-4}}\right)\right].$$

$$(b) \qquad \sum_{k,r\in T_1}\varepsilon_{kr}=O\left(\sum_{k\in T_1}(\lambda_k d_k)^{4-n}\right).$$

**Proof.** We start by proving claim $(a)$. Using Proposition 3.4, we derive that

$$0=\sum_{k=1}^{s}2^k\alpha_{i_k}(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon),\lambda_{i_k}\frac{\partial P\delta_{i_k}}{\partial\lambda_{i_k}})=2J(u_\varepsilon)c_1\sum_{k=1}^{s}\Bigg[-\sum_{j\neq i_k}2^k\alpha_j\alpha_{i_k}\lambda_{i_k}\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ji_k}}{\partial\lambda_{i_k}}(1+o(1))$$
$$-\frac{n-4}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{p}2^k\alpha_j\alpha_{i_k}\frac{H_\varepsilon(a_j,a_{i_k})}{(\lambda_j\lambda_{i_k})^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}(1+o(1))+R\Bigg]. \qquad (3.22)$$

Notice that

$$-\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}=\frac{n-4}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}\left(1-\frac{2\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n-4}}\right). \qquad (3.23)$$

Thus, if $\lambda_i\geq\lambda_j$ and $i$, $j$ in $T_2$, we have

$$-2\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}-\lambda_j\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_j}\geq-\lambda_i\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial\lambda_i}=\frac{n-4}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}+O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{(n-2)/(n-4)}\right). \qquad (3.24)$$

For $j\in T_1$ and $i\in T_2$, two cases may occur :
i/ $\frac{1}{2}d_j\leq d_i\leq 2d_j$.
Using in this case the fact that $j$ satisfies (3.21) and $H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_k)\leq(d_id_k)^{\frac{-(n-4)}{2}}$, we obtain

$$(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\frac{n-4}{2}}\varepsilon_{ij}\leq(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\frac{n-4}{2}}c\sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{H_\varepsilon(a_k,a_j)}{(\lambda_j\lambda_k)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\leq c\sum_{k=1}^{p}((\lambda_id_i)(\lambda_kd_k))^{\frac{-(n-4)}{2}}=o(1). \qquad (3.25)$$

ii/ in other cases, we have $|a_i-a_j|\geq\frac{1}{2}\max(d_i,d_j)$, then

$$\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{2}{n-4}}\leq\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}(\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{-1}\leq(\lambda_i|a_i-a_j|)^{-2}=O\left((\lambda_id_i)^{-2}\right)$$

and (3.25) follows in this case.
Using (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), and (3.25), we see that

$$0\geq((n-4)/2)\sum_{i\in T_2}\left(\sum_{j\neq i}\varepsilon_{ij}(1+o(1))-2^p\sum_{j=1}^{p}H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_j)(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{4-n}{2}}+R\right).$$

Since $i\in T_2$ and $H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_i)\sim c/d_i^{n-4}$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough (this fact can be shown as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 of [1]), then

$$0\geq c\sum_{i\in T_2}\left(\sum_{j\neq i}\varepsilon_{ij}+(\lambda_id_i)^{4-n}\right)(1+o(1))+R_1.$$

Therefore claim $(a)$ follows.
The proof of claim $(b)$ is based on (3.22) and claim $(a)$. $\square$

Now, in $T_1$ we order all the $\lambda_i d_i$'s: $\lambda_{j_1} d_{j_1} \leq \lambda_{j_2} d_{j_2} \leq ... \leq \lambda_{j_q} d_{j_q}$. In order to simplify our notations, we suppose that $T_1 = \{1, 2, ..., q\}$ and $\lambda_1 d_1 \leq \lambda_2 d_2 \leq ... \leq \lambda_q d_q$.
Let us introduce the following sets:

$$K_0 = \left\{ i \in T_1 / \exists k_1, ..., k_m \in T_1 \, s.t. \; k_1 = i, ..., k_m = 1 \text{ and } \frac{|a_{k_j} - a_{k_{j+1}}|}{inf(d_{k_j}, d_{k_{j+1}})} \leq C_0 \right\} \quad (3.26)$$

$$B = K_0 \cap \{1, ..., l\}, \quad (3.27)$$

where $l = max\{i \in T_1 \; s.t. \; \lambda_k d_k / \lambda_{k-1} d_{k-1} \leq C_1 \; \forall k \leq i\}$ and $C_0$ and $C_1$ are positive constants chosen later.

**Lemma 3.7** *Let $B$ be defined by* (3.27). *Then,* $\{1\} \subsetneq B$.

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction. We assume that $B = \{1\}$.
Using Proposition 3.4, and the fact that $H_\varepsilon(a_i, a_i) \sim c/d_i^{n-4}$, we derive that

$$0 = \left( \nabla J(u_\varepsilon), \lambda_1 \frac{\partial P\delta_1}{\partial \lambda_1} \right) = 2J(u)c_1 \left[ -\frac{(n-4)}{2} \alpha_1 \frac{H_\varepsilon(a_1, a_1)}{\lambda_1^{n-4}} (1 + o(1)) + O(\sum_{k \neq 1} \varepsilon_{k1}) \right].$$

Thus

$$0 \leq -c(\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n} + O(\sum_{k \neq 1} \varepsilon_{1k}). \quad (3.28)$$

Observe that :
- for $k \in T_2$, by Lemma 3.6, we have $\varepsilon_{1k} = o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{3-n}\right))$.
- For $k \in T_1$, two cases may occur :
If $k > l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1k} &\leq 2^{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{H_\varepsilon(a_k, a_j)}{(\lambda_k \lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \leq \sum_{j \in T_1} \frac{c}{(\lambda_k d_k \lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} + \sum_{j \in \{k\} \cup T_2} \frac{c}{(\lambda_j d_j)^{n-4}} \\ &\leq C_1^{\frac{4-n}{2}} ((\lambda_l d_l)(\lambda_1 d_1))^{\frac{4-n}{2}} + R_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.6 and the fact that $C_1$ large enough, we obtain $\varepsilon_{1k} = o((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n})$.
Otherwise, we have $k \notin K_0$, then $|a_1 - a_k| \geq C_0 \inf(d_1, d_k)$, then

$$\varepsilon_{1k} \leq \left( \lambda_1 \lambda_k |a_1 - a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{4-n}{2}} \leq C_0^{(4-n)/2} \left( (\lambda_1 d_1)(\lambda_k d_k) \right)^{\frac{4-n}{2}} = o\left( (\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n} \right)$$

if we choose $C_0$ large enough .
Thus (3.28) yields a contradiction and our lemma follows. $\square$

In order to finish the proof of of Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8** *For* $n \geq 6$, *we have* $d^{n-4}\rho_B \longrightarrow 0$ *and* $d^{n-3}\nabla\rho_B \longrightarrow 0$, *when* $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, *where* $d = inf_{i\in B} d(a_i, \partial A_\varepsilon)$ *and* $\rho_B = \rho(a_{i_1}, ..., a_{i_m})$, *with* $B = \{i_1, ..., i_m\}$ *the set defined by* (3.27).

Before giving the proof of this lemma, we begin by studying the vector $\Lambda$ defined by

$$\Lambda = \left(\lambda_{i_1}^{\frac{4-n}{2}}, ..., \lambda_{i_m}^{\frac{4-n}{2}}\right). \tag{3.30}$$

Let $M_B = M(a_i, i \in B)$ the matrix defined by (2.3) and $\rho_B$ its least eigenvalue. We denote by $e$ the eigenvector associated to $\rho_B$. As in [4], we can easily prove that all components of $e$ are strictly positive. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that for any $\gamma$ belongs to a neighborhood $C(e, \eta)$ of $e$, we have

$$^T\gamma M_B\gamma - \rho_B|\gamma|^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{d^{n-4}}|\gamma|^2 \text{ and } {}^T\gamma\frac{\partial M_B}{\partial a_i}\gamma = \left(\frac{\partial\rho_B}{\partial a_i} + o(\frac{1}{d^{n-3}})\right)|\gamma|^2 \tag{3.31}$$

and for $\gamma \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^m \setminus C(e, \eta)$ , we have

$$^T\gamma M_B\gamma - \rho_B|\gamma|^2 \geq \frac{c_3|\gamma|^2}{d^{n-4}}, \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$C(e, \eta) \subset \{y \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^m s.t|\frac{y}{|y|} - e| < \eta\}.$$

**Lemma 3.9** *Let* $\Lambda$ *be defined by* (3.30). *Then* $\Lambda \in C(e, \eta)$.

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction. We assume that $\Lambda \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^m \setminus C(e, \eta)$. Let

$$\Lambda(t) = |\Lambda|\frac{(1-t)\Lambda + t|\Lambda|e}{|(1-t)\Lambda + t|\Lambda|e|} := \frac{y(t)}{|y(t)|}.$$

From Proposition 3.4, we derive that

$$(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), Z)_{|t=0} = -c\frac{d}{dt}\left({}^T\Lambda(t)M_B\Lambda(t)\right)_{|t=0} + O\left(\sum_{i\in B, j\in(T_1\setminus B)\cup T_2}\varepsilon_{ij}\right) + R + o\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right)$$

where $Z$ is the vector field defined on the variables $\lambda$ along the flow line defined by $\Lambda(t)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left({}^T\Lambda(t)M_B\Lambda(t)\right) &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{{}^T\Lambda(t)M_B\Lambda(t)}{|\Lambda(t)|^2}|\Lambda(0)|^2\right)\\ &= |\Lambda(0)|^2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho_B + \frac{(1-t)^2}{|y(t)|^2}({}^T\Lambda(0)M_B\Lambda(0) - \rho_B|\Lambda(0)|^2)\right)\\ &= |\Lambda(0)|^2\left(\frac{2(1-t)}{|y(t)|^4}({}^T\Lambda(0)M_B\Lambda(0) - \rho_B|\Lambda(0)|^2)(-(1-t)|\Lambda(0)| < e, \Lambda(0) > -t|\Lambda|^2)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), Z)_{|t=0} = & -\frac{2c}{|\Lambda|^2}({}^T\Lambda M_B \Lambda - \rho_B |\Lambda|^2)(-|\Lambda| < e, \Lambda(0) >) \\
& + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) + O\left(\sum_{i\in B, j\in (T_1\setminus B)\cup T_2} \varepsilon_{ij}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|e| = 1$, then there exists $k_0$ such that $e_{k_0} \geq \frac{1}{m}$. Thus

$$
< e, \Lambda(0) >= \sum_i e_i \Lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{m}\Lambda_{k_0}.
$$

Using (3.32), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), Z)_{|t=0} \ \geq \ & c c_3 d^{4-n} |\Lambda| \Lambda_{k_0} + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) + O\left(\sum_{i\in B, j\in (T_1\setminus B)} \varepsilon_{ij}\right) \\
\geq \ & c\left(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_{k_0} d_{k_0}\right)^{\frac{4-n}{2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) + O\left(\sum_{i\in B,\, j\in T_1\setminus B} \varepsilon_{i,j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that
- if $j > l$, since $j \in T_1$, using (3.29), we have $\varepsilon_{ij} = o(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_{k_0} d_{k_0})^{(4-n)/2}$.
- if $j \notin K_0$ and $j \leq l$

$$
\varepsilon_{ij} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i \lambda_j |a_i - a_j|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \leq \frac{C_0^{(4-n)/2}}{(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \leq \frac{C_0^{\frac{4-n}{2}} C_1^{m-1}}{(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_{k_0} d_{k_0})^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} = o\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_{k_0} d_{k_0})^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\right)
$$

if we chose $C_0 >> C_1$. Thus

$$
0 \geq \left(c(\lambda_1 d_1 \lambda_{k_0} d_{k_0})^{\frac{4-n}{2}}\right) + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) \geq \left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right)\left(c(C_1^m)^{\frac{4-n}{2}} + o(1)\right) > 0.
$$

This yields a contradiction and our lemma follows. □

**Proof of Lemma 3.8** Observe that, as in (3.28), it is easy to prove that, for $i, j$ in $T_1$, we have $(\lambda_i/\lambda_j + \lambda_j/\lambda_i)\varepsilon_{ij}^{2/(n-4)} = o(1)$ and therefore

$$
\varepsilon_{ij} = \left(\lambda_i \lambda_j |a_i - a_j|^2\right)^{(4-n)/2}(1 + o(1)). \tag{3.33}
$$

Since $u_\varepsilon$ is a critical point of $J$, we have

$$
\sum_{i\in B}\left(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), \lambda_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}\right) = 0.
$$

Using Proposition 3.4 and (3.33), we derive that

$$0 = \sum_{i\in B}\left[\frac{H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-4}}(1+o(1)) - \sum_{j\neq i, j\in B}(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H_\varepsilon(a_i,a_j)}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}})(1+o(1)) + O(\sum_{j\in(T_1\setminus B)\cup T_2}\varepsilon_{ij}) + R\right]$$
$$= {}^T\Lambda M_B\Lambda + o\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) + R_1 + O(\sum_{j\in(T_1\setminus B), i\in B}\varepsilon_{ij}).$$

Observe that, for $i\in B$ and $j\in T_1\setminus B$, we have, as in the proof of Lemma 3.7, $\varepsilon_{ij} = o((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n})$. Thus

$$0 = {}^T\Lambda M_B\Lambda + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right). \tag{3.34}$$

We assume, arguing by contradiction, that $d^{n-4}\rho_B \not\longrightarrow 0$, when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$. Therefore, there exists $C_4>0$ such that $|\rho_B d^{n-4}|\geq C_4$ .
Now we distinguish two cases
$1^{st}$**case :**$\rho_B>0$
In this case, we derive from (3.34)

$$0\geq \rho_B|\Lambda|^2 + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) \geq C_4|\Lambda|^2 d^{4-n} + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) > 0.$$

This yields a contradiction and we derive that $d^{n-2}\rho_B\longrightarrow 0$ in this case.
$2^{nd}$ **case :** $\rho_B\leq 0$. In this case, we derive from (3.31) and (3.34),

$$\begin{aligned} 0\leq \rho_B|\Lambda|^2 + c_2|\Lambda|^2 d^{4-n} + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right) &\leq |\Lambda|^2 d^{4-n}\left(\rho_B\, d^{n-4} + c_2\right) + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right)\\ &\leq |\Lambda|^2 d^{4-n}(-C_4 + c_2) + o\left((\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $c_2\leq\frac{1}{2}C_4$, we obtain a contradiction. Then $d^{n-4}\rho_B\longrightarrow 0$, when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$ also in this case.
Observe that, since $d^{n-4}\rho_B\longrightarrow 0$, then there exists $C_5>0$ such that $|a_i-a_j|\geq C_5 d$, for any $i,j\in B$ and $i\neq j$.
We assume, arguing by contradiction, that $d^{n-3}\nabla\rho_B\not\longrightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0$.
Since $u_\varepsilon$ is a critical point of $J$, we have $(\nabla J(u_\varepsilon), \lambda^{-1}(\partial P\delta_i)/(\partial a_i)) = 0$. For $i\in B$, using Proposition 3.5 and (3.33), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= {}^T\Lambda\frac{\partial M_B}{\partial a_i}\Lambda + O\left(\sum_{j\in T_1\setminus B}\left|\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)\right|\right)\\ &+ o\left(\frac{1}{d_i}\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) + \lambda_i R + O\left(\sum_{j\in T_1}\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-1}{n-4}}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j\in T_2}\lambda_i\varepsilon_{ij}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that:
- for $j\in T_2$, we have, by Lemma 3.6, $\lambda_i\varepsilon_{ij} = o(d^{-1}(\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n})$,

- for $j \in T_1 \setminus K_0$, since $(\partial/\partial a_i)H(a_i,a_j) \leq d_i^{-1}H(a_i,a_j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}| + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}|\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)| &\leq \frac{c}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{|a_i-a_j|^{n-3}} + \frac{1}{d_i|a_i-a_j|^{n-4}}\right) \\ &\leq cC_0^{(4-n)/2}\left((\lambda_i d_i\lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{4-n}{2}}d_i\right) \\ &\leq cC_0^{(4-n)/2}d^{-1}(\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n} = o\left(d^{-1}(\lambda_1 d_1)^{4-n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- for $j > l$, two cases may occur:
**i/** The first case is when $d_j/2 \leq d_i \leq 2d_j$. Using the fact that $j \in T_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}\right| + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left|\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)\right| &\leq c\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-3}{n-4}} + \frac{c}{d_i}\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i\lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \\ &\leq c\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k d_k\lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}} + \frac{c}{C_1^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-4}d_i}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{c\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}}{(\lambda_i d_i\lambda_j d_j)^{1/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(\lambda_k d_k)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(\lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-5}{2}}} + \frac{c}{C_1^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As in (3.29) we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}\right| + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left|\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)\right| = o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right).$$

**ii/** In other cases, we have $|a_i-a_j| \geq \max(d_i,d_j)/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial a_i}\right| + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left|\frac{\partial H_\varepsilon}{\partial a_i}(a_i,a_j)\right| &\leq \frac{c}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{(n-4)/2}|a_i-a_j|^{n-3}} + \frac{c}{d_i(\lambda_i d_i\lambda_j d_j)^{(n-4)/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{d_i(\lambda_i d_i\lambda_j d_j)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} \leq \frac{c}{C_1^{\frac{n-4}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}d}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- for $j \in T_1$, as in the previous case, it is easy to see that

$$\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-1}{n-4}} = o\left(d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{3-n}\right),$$

Therefore, by (3.31), we have

$$0 =^T \Lambda\frac{\partial M_B}{\partial a_i}\Lambda + o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \rho_B}{\partial a_i}d^{n-3} + o(1)\right)\frac{|\Lambda|^2}{d^{n-3}} + o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right).$$

Thus

$$0 \geq \left(|\nabla\rho|d^{n-3} + o(1)\right)\frac{|\Lambda|^2}{d^{n-3}} + o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) \geq C_6\frac{|\Lambda|^2}{d^{n-3}} + o\left(\frac{1}{d(\lambda_1 d_1)^{n-4}}\right) > 0$$

This yields a contradiction and our lemma follows. □

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us start by proving the following result :

**Theorem 4.1** *For* $n \geq 5$, *let* $C_0 > 0$ *and let* $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) \in A_\varepsilon^k$ *such that*

$$d^{n-4}\rho_\varepsilon(x_1, ..., x_k) \to 0 \quad when \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \quad and \quad |x_i - x_j| \leq C_0 d, \forall i, j,$$

*where* $d = min\{d(x_i, \partial A_\varepsilon)/1 \leq i \leq k\}$. *Then we have*

$$d^{n-3}\nabla\rho_\varepsilon(x_1, ..., x_k) \not\longrightarrow 0, \quad when\ \varepsilon \to 0.$$

To prove Theorem 4.1, we introduce some notation and recall some result.
Let $(x_1, ..., x_k) \in A_\varepsilon^k$ such that

$$d^{n-4}\rho_\varepsilon(x_1, ..., x_k) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } |x_i - x_j| \leq C_0 d, \forall i, j, \tag{4.1}$$

where $C_0$ is a fixed positive constant and $d = min_{1 \leq i \leq k} d(x_i, \partial A_\varepsilon)$.
We may assume, without loss of generality, that $d_1 = inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} d_i$.
Now we introduce the map

$$A_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_\varepsilon, \quad x \longmapsto \widetilde{x} = d_1^{-1}(x - x_1).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [1], we easily derive that

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x_1, ..., x_k) = d_1^{4-n}\widetilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(0, \widetilde{x}_2, ...., \widetilde{x}_k), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\rho}_\varepsilon$ is the function defined, replacing $A_\varepsilon^k$ by $\widetilde{A}_\varepsilon^k$ in (2.3), and $\widetilde{A_\varepsilon}$ converges in the $C^1$ -topology on every compact set to $\Omega$ , where $\Omega$ is a half-space or a strip .
Observe that $|\widetilde{x}_i| \leq C_0, \forall i \in \{2, ..., k\}$ .
Now we have the following Lemmas :

**Lemma 4.2** *For* $\varepsilon > 0$, *let*

$$F_k(\varepsilon) = \Big\{(X_1, ..., X_k) \in \widetilde{A_\varepsilon^k}/\exists i \neq j \ s.t \ X_i = X_j\Big\}$$

*Then* $\widetilde{\rho}_\varepsilon$ *converges in the* $C^1$*-topology to* $\rho_\Omega$ , *when*$\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$ , *on every compact set which does not intersect* $V$ , *where* $V$ *is any neighborhood of* $F_k(\varepsilon)$ *and* $\rho_\Omega$ *is the function defined, replacing* $A_\varepsilon^k$ *by* $\Omega^k$ *in* (2.3).

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 in [1].

**Lemma 4.3** *let* $\rho_\Omega$ *the function defined replacing* $A_\varepsilon^k$ *by* $\Omega^k$ *in (3). Then the map*

$$]0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \longmapsto t^{n-4}\rho_\Omega(0, tX_2, ..., tX_k)$$

*decreases when* $t$ *decreases for any* $X_2, ....X_k \in \Omega$.

The same arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [1] prove easily our lemma.
**Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 1.1** From (4.1), (4.2) and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we easily deduce Theorem 4.1. Lastly, Theorem 1.1 is an easy consequence of Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1. □

# References

[1] M. Ahmedou and K. El Mehdi, *Computation of the difference of topology at infinity for Yamabe-type problem on annuli-domains,* Duke Math. J. **94** (1998), I: 215-229.

[2] A. Bahri, *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems,* Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **182**, Longman Sci. Tech. Harlow (1989).

[3] A. Bahri and J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear Elliptic equation Involving the critical Sobolev Exponent: The effect of the topology on the Domain,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 253-294.

[4] A. Bahri-Y. Li and O. Rey, *On a variational problem with lack of compactness: The topological effect of the critical points at infinity,* Calc. Var. Partial Diff. Equa. **3** (1995), 67-94.

[5] B. Beauzamy, *Introduction to Banach spaces and their topology* North-Holland (1983).

[6] M. Ben Ayed and K. El Mehdi, *The Paneitz curvature problem on lower dimensional spheres,* Preprint the Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste, Italy, IC/2003/48.

[7] M. Ben Ayed, K. El Mehdi and M. Hammami, *A nonexistence result for Yamabe type problems on thin annuli,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non linéaire **19** (2002), 715-744.

[8] M. Ben Ayed and M. Hammami, *On a Fouth order elliptic equation with Critical nonlinearity in dimension Six,* Preprint 2003.

[9] S.Y.A. Chang, *On Paneitz operator-a fourth order differential operator in conformal geometry,* Survey article, Preprint 1996, to appear in the Proceeding for the 70th birthday of A.P. Calderon.

[10] Z. Djadli-E. Hebey and M. Ledoux, *Paneitz type operators and applications,* Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 129-169.

[11] F. Ebobisse and M. Ould Ahmedou, *On a nonlinear fourth order elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent,* Nonlinear Anal. TMA **52** (2003), 1535-1552.

[12] F. Gazzola, H.C. Grunan and M. Squassina, *Existence and nonexistence results for critical growth biharmonic elliptic equations,* to appear in Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations.

[13] C.S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$,* Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 206-231.

[14] S.S. Lin, *Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions to semilinear elliptic equations on expanding annuli,* J. Diff. Equ. **120** (1995), $n^0$2, 255–288.

[15] E. Mitidieri, *A Rellich type identity and applications,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 125-151.

[16] O. Rey, *The role of the Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent,* J. funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 1-52.

[17] R.C.A.M. Van der Vorst, *Fouth order elliptic equations with critical growth,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **320**, (1995), 295-299.